

EFFECT OF MICROCRYSTALLINE CELLULOSE AS A FILLER AND/OR FILLER RETENTION AID ON THE MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF BAGASSE PAPER SHEETS

AHMED EL-GENDY, MOHAMED EL-SAKHAWY, ABEER M ADEL and MONA T. AL-SHEMY

*Cellulose and Paper Department, National Research Centre,
33 El-Bohouth Str., Dokki, P.O. 12622, Giza, Egypt*

✉ *Corresponding author: Mohamed El-Sakhawy, elsakhawy@yahoo.com*

Received June 6, 2020

Microcrystalline cellulose (MCC), as a green material derived from bagasse, was investigated as an additive (0-3 wt%) in the preparation of bagasse paper sheets, in comparison with cationic starch. The effect of MCC addition, in the presence of 15% kaolin or 15% calcium carbonate as paper filler, was also studied. The properties of bagasse paper sheets loaded with MCC or cationic starch, in the presence or absence of 15% kaolin or 15% calcium carbonate as paper filler, were evaluated in terms of breaking length, burst, tear, opacity and filler retention values. Also, SEM and thermal analyses of the paper sheets were performed. It was found that all the properties of the bagasse paper sheets were improved as a result of MCC or cationic starch addition and, in general, the observed improvement increased as the percent of addition increased. Calcium carbonate and cationic starch, in general, gave superior properties, compared to kaolin and MCC, respectively. Overall, MCC could be considered as an alternative economically viable paper additive.

Keywords: microcrystalline cellulose, cationic starch, paper sheets, filler, thermal properties

INTRODUCTION

Bagasse, the residue that remains after sugar extraction from sugar cane, is available in a clean condition and in huge quantities at sugar mills. Bagasse plays an increasingly vital role in the paper industry as a substitute raw material for wood fibers, not only in countries that lack forest resources, but also in countries that aim to diminish the deforestation phenomenon. Utilizing bagasse as a sustainable, renewable and cheap raw material for papermaking saves the environment from many aspects (*i.e.* avoids pollutants and high energy consumption).¹ The research conducted on bagasse fibers has demonstrated that the chemical composition and physical properties of bagasse fibers are comparable to those of hardwood fibers.² Pulping and bleaching of bagasse could be achieved under mild conditions, with lower chemical consumption, compared with hardwood. All kinds of paper and paperboard can be achieved using bagasse fiber.³

Additives are used in huge amounts during papermaking to improve paper sheet properties. Calcium carbonate and kaolin are the most commonly used mineral fillers in the paper industries.^{4,5} Fillers have an essential role in the enhancement of the opacity, brightness, dimensional stability, gloss and printability of several paper products. However, despite the benefits associated with using inorganic fillers (*i.e.* energy and cost savings, machine speed, increased furnish drainage rate *etc.*), their non-biodegradability and non-combustibility create problems in the recycling process. Otherwise, if an organic filler, such as carbohydrate-based materials, is used instead of/or with an inorganic one, the problem can be solved or at least reduced.⁵ Cationic starch has been widely used as a wet end additive in papermaking due to its many advantages, such as good retention of fillers and fines, fast drainage, enhancement of mechanical strength and reduction of wastewater pollutants. A wide range of different cationic starches are known, depending on the source (wheat, potato, corn, *etc.*) and the degree of substitution. Therefore, different properties can be obtained, depending upon the type of starch used, the addition level, and the stage when it is added during the papermaking process.^{6,7}

Microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) is nowadays known as an amazing green material for different industrial applications.⁸ MCC is simply produced by proper acid hydrolysis of cellulose. Lignocellulosic materials as wood, bagasse, rice straw, rice husk, bean husk, *etc.* could be used for MCC production. MCC has been utilized as an inert formulation and filler in tablet production, and also as an antilipidemic agent.⁹⁻¹¹ Though many studies have investigated the utilization of MCC in

film making,^{12,13} its application in paper manufacturing is still lacking. Wilpiszewska *et al.* found that the addition of MCC to polysaccharide films improved not only their mechanical properties, but also their thermal and water vapor barrier behaviors.⁸

Hence, the use of MCC in papermaking is a reasonable idea, since the necessary raw material and equipment are already available at pulp mills. In this study, MCC was prepared from bagasse and evaluated as a filler and/or filler retention aid for kaolin and calcium carbonate during bagasse paper sheet making. Moreover, the use of the prepared MCC in papermaking was compared with that of the already widely accepted commercial starch filler.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

The bagasse raw material and the unbleached pulp used in this study were kindly supplied by the Egyptian sugar cane bagasse mill Edfo Pulp Co., Upper Egypt. All the reagents used in this work were of analytical grade and used without any further purification.

Chemical composition of bagasse

The chemical composition of the bagasse raw material was determined by using different TAPPI standard methods for measuring the contents of α -cellulose (T 203 os-61 for), lignin (T-222), pentosan (T-223 cm-84), and ash (T-211).

The amount of α -cellulose was 69.43%, lignin – 4.26%, pentosan – 26.21%, and ash – 0.85%. All the data are the mean of three measurements, with a significance level of 5%.

Filler preparation and characterizations

Preparation of microcrystalline cellulose

Microcrystalline cellulose was prepared as described earlier in detail.⁹ Namely, bleached bagasse pulp was hydrolyzed with 1.5 M hydrochloric acid, under reflux for 90 min and at a liquor ratio of 1:20. The hydrolyzed pulps were thoroughly washed with distilled water and air-dried. The degree of polymerization (DP), as determined by the viscosity measurement of the bagasse MCC dissolved in copper–ammonium hydroxide solution, was found to be 284.

Filler modification

The fillers were prepared as described in earlier work, with a slight modification.⁴ In brief, 20 g of filler and 130 mL of distilled water were added into a 500 mL four-neck round-bottom flask. The filler slurry was stirred to ensure sufficient mixing with 200 mL of freshly prepared solution containing cationic starch or microcrystalline cellulose, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. A freshly prepared alum solution ($\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$) was then instantly poured into the above mixture, and the resulting mixture was further stirred for 10 min. The modified filler slurry was then diluted to 1000 mL to facilitate its subsequent use. The added amount of calcium carbonate or kaolin filler to fibrous bagasse was 15% based on pulp.

Paper sheet preparation and determination of paper properties

Preparation of handsheets

The pulp was beaten before paper sheet preparation up to 40 Schopper Riegler degrees ($^{\circ}\text{SR}$ 40) according to TAPPI Standard TAPPI T200 sp-06. Conventional handsheets, of basis weight of $60 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$, were prepared on a Rapid Khöten sheet former, following the standard method ISO 5269-2. The beaten pulp was used at a concentration of $2 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$. The added amount of kaolin filler was 15% based on pulp.

One liter of this fiber dispersion was introduced into the cylinder of the handsheet former. This volume was then diluted in 5–7 L of water. After a stirring phase (air injection avoids aeration), the dispersion was filtrated on a wire mesh. After pressing, the wet sheet was collected on blotting paper, protected between two sheets, and dried under vacuum at 80°C for 10 min. The pulp handsheets were conditioned for at least 48 h before starting the tests at $23\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $50\pm 2\%$ relative humidity, following the standard ISO 187-1977. Testing of the pulp handsheets was performed in the same conditioning chamber where the handsheets were conditioned. For each parameter, a minimum of ten readings was taken from each lot of samples and the average value was reported.

Tensile strength

The tensile strength was done on a test strip of 15 mm in diameter (cut from the test handsheets), using a Lorentzen & Wettre (L&W) tensile tester, according to the standard method ISO 1924/2-1994. The distance between the two clamps was kept at 10 cm and the elongation rate was normalized at $10 \text{ mm}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$. The following parameters were calculated automatically by the equipment at the end of the test provided that the basis weight and thickness of the test sheet were pre-fed: force (N), tensile index ($\text{Nm}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$), breaking length

(km), stretch (%), tensile energy absorption (TEA) ($\text{J}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$), TEA index ($\text{J}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$), tensile stiffness ($\text{kN}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$), elastic modulus (GPa), and tensile stiffness index (TSI) ($\text{kN}\cdot\text{m}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$). These measurements were performed 10 times and the experimental error was within 5%.

Tear strength

The test was carried out on a test piece of 65×50 mm, cut from the test sheet, using an Adamel Lhomargy tearing strength tester, according to ISO 1974-1990. Tearing index (I_D) was expressed in $\text{mN}\cdot\text{m}^2\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ and calculated as follows (Eq. 1):

$$I_D = 100 \times (D/W) \quad (1)$$

where D is the tearing resistance (mN) and W is the basis weight ($\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$). These measurements were also carried out at least in triplicate and the experimental error was within 5%.

Burst strength

Burst strength was carried out on both sides of the test piece using an Adamel Lhomargy burst tester, according to ISO 2758-1983. The burst index (I_E), expressed in $\text{kPa}\cdot\text{m}^2\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, was calculated as follows (Eq. 2):

$$I_E = (P/W) \quad (2)$$

where P is the pressure at the time of burst (kPa) and W is the basis weight ($\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$). These measurements were also carried out at least in triplicate and the experimental error was within 5%.

Opacity

The opacity of the prepared handsheets was investigated by using an Elrephodatacolor 2000 instrument. Before the measurement, the instrument was calibrated according to the TAPPI standard (T 452 om-08, TAPPI standard methods: e 2008). The measurement was done on a sample thick enough to be opaque, often represented by several layers of a simply folded sheet of the sample. The opacity coefficient of the pulp handsheets was measured at monochromatic light of $\lambda = 557$ nm. These measurements were carried out at least in duplicate.

Determination of filler retention

The retention of fillers was evaluated according to the following relation (Eq. 3):

$$\text{Filler retention value; \%} = 100 \times \{\text{Retained filler (g)}/\text{Added amount of filler (g)}\} \quad (3)$$

The test was repeated at least in duplicate and the difference between the various values obtained was within an experimental error of 5%.

Scanning electron microscopy

The surface characteristics of MCC and bagasse handsheets were observed using scanning electron microscopy (TESCAN VEGA3 SEM, with an acceleration voltage of 10 kV). Each sample was coated with gold/palladium before the analysis.

Thermal gravimetric analysis

Thermal analysis was carried out using a PerkinElmer thermogravimetric analyzer. The heating rate was set to $10^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$ over a temperature range between 50 and 600°C . Measurements were carried out in a nitrogen atmosphere; the nitrogen flow rate was $50\text{ cm}^3/\text{min}$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) as paper additive

Microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) was prepared from bagasse with a yield of 82.65% and used as an additive to bagasse paper sheets. Figure 1 displays the SEM of the prepared MCC. Mixed cellulose microfibril strands and patchy fiber crumbs can be observed as a result of the acid hydrolysis process of bleached bagasse pulp.

The data in Table 1 depict the effect of MCC addition on the mechanical properties of bagasse paper sheets, in comparison with commercial cationic starch (CCS). Different additions of MCC or CCS (from 0 to 3% based on pulp weight) were investigated.




Figure 1: SEM micrograph of bagasse MCC

Table 1

Mechanical properties of bagasse paper sheets containing different amounts of microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) or commercial cationic starch (CCS)

Added amount (%)	Microcrystalline cellulose (MCC)		
	Breaking length (km)	Burst index (KPa·m ² ·g ⁻¹)	Tear index (mN·m ² ·g ⁻¹)
0.00	5.06	3.83	10.33
0.50	5.16	4.20	12.44
1.00	5.20	4.33	12.71
1.50	5.28	4.17	12.85
2.00	5.47	4.38	12.56
2.50	5.61	4.21	14.50
3.00	5.69	4.21	14.54
Added amount (%)	Cationic starch		
	Breaking length (km)	Burst index (KPa·m ² ·g ⁻¹)	Tear index (mN·m ² ·g ⁻¹)
0.00	5.06	3.83	10.33
0.50	5.20	4.00	10.55
1.00	5.55	4.74	11.00
1.50	5.65	4.92	11.64
2.00	5.89	4.43	12.04
2.50	6.24	4.55	13.09
3.00	6.38	4.34	13.00

The breaking length of the paper sheets, as shown in Table 1, increased in direct proportion with the added amount of both additives. By increasing the added amounts of MCC and CCS from 0 to 3%, the breaking length increased by 12.5 and 26%, respectively. The most pronounced improvement in breaking length by increasing the amount of additive % ((X1-X)/X) was noticed at 2 and 2.5% for MCC, and 1, 2 and 2.5% for CCS. It is apparent that CCS leads to superior improvement in BL to MCC, but it is worth noticing that the BL values using 1.5, 2, 2.5 and 3% MCC are comparable to the values obtained using 0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2% CCS. This means that the improvement attained by CCS could be attained using the same amount of MCC+1%, which is considered economically viable.

Table 1 shows that the burst index gradually increased by increasing MCC addition to a maximum improvement of 14.4% at 2% MCC addition. CCS shows the same behavior with a maximum improvement of 28.5% at 1.5% CCS addition. The tear index of the paper sheets improved by increasing the additives % to an optimum addition at 2.5%, where it increased by 40.4 and 26.7% for MCC and CCS, respectively.

Effect of microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) as paper additive in the presence of filler

The effect of MCC addition on the mechanical properties of the bagasse paper sheets, when using 15% kaolin or 15% calcium carbonate as filler, in comparison with the addition of commercial cationic starch, was studied. Table 2 shows that the addition of fillers decreased the BL by 9.1 and

3.6% for kaolin and calcium carbonate, respectively. The addition of MCC, in the presence of the filler, compensates for the deteriorating effect of filler addition and BL increased as the addition % of MCC increased to a 10.7 and 7.7% increment at 3% MCC addition in the presence of kaolin and calcium carbonate, respectively. The improvement in BL upon MCC addition was more pronounced in the presence of kaolin than in the case of calcium carbonate. Table 2 shows that the burst index was slightly improved upon MCC addition, while the tear index increased by 29.9 and 40.7% in the presence of kaolin and calcium carbonate, respectively. Filler addition in case of both kaolin and calcium carbonate slightly increased the opacity of the paper sheets, but a more pronounced improvement in sheet opacity was attained as a result of MCC addition.

CCS addition in the presence of the fillers (Table 3) leads to the same trend as in the case of MCC addition, but with a higher improvement in BL (22.5 and 24.5%) and lower improvement in tear index (17.8 and 16.2%) in the presence of kaolin and calcium carbonate, respectively.

Opacity is an important property for printing paper. Both kaolin and calcium carbonate gave comparable opacity values for paper sheets. Paper sheet opacity increased by 2.8-4 and 6.3-7.3% in the presence of MCC and CCS, respectively.

Effect of microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) as retention aid for fillers

Table 4 shows that the addition of MCC increased the filler retention gradually for both kaolin and calcium carbonate. The retention value of kaolin increased by 21.6%, while the retention value of calcium carbonate increased by 16.9% when 3% MCC was added. CCS addition gave superior retention values, compared with MCC. At 3% CCS addition, the retention values of kaolin and calcium carbonate increased by 31.5 and 22.3%, respectively. For all the tested samples, calcium carbonate shows higher retention values than kaolin.

Table 2
Mechanical properties of bagasse paper sheets containing 15% kaolin or 15% calcium carbonate in the presence of different amounts of microcrystalline cellulose (MCC)

Added amount of filler and MCC%	Breaking length (km)	Burst index (KPa·m ² ·g ⁻¹)	Tear index (mN·m ² ·g ⁻¹)	ISO Opacity (%)
Blank (B)	5.06	3.83	10.33	86.62
B+Kaolin	4.60	3.68	12.56	86.85
1%MCC/Kaolin	4.99	3.98	12.94	90.48
2%MCC/Kaolin	5.29	3.82	13.02	90.10
3%MCC/Kaolin	5.60	3.91	13.42	90.65
B+CaCO ₃	4.88	4.04	13.20	88.54
1%MCC/CaCO ₃	4.86	3.87	13.84	89.39
2%MCC/CaCO ₃	5.17	3.99	13.79	89.96
3%MCC/CaCO ₃	5.45	3.92	14.54	90.00

Table 3
Mechanical properties of bagasse paper sheets containing 15% kaolin or 15% calcium carbonate in the presence of different amounts of cationic starch (CCS)

Added amount of filler and CCS%	Breaking length (km)	Burst index (KPa·m ² ·g ⁻¹)	Tear index (mN·m ² ·g ⁻¹)	ISO Opacity (%)
Blank (B)	5.06	3.83	10.33	86.62
B+Kaolin	4.60	3.68	12.56	86.85
1%CCS/Kaolin	5.46	3.86	13.32	93.06
2%CCS/Kaolin	5.75	4.06	12.06	93.91
3%CCS/Kaolin	6.20	3.55	12.17	92.96
B+CaCO ₃	4.88	4.04	13.20	88.54
1%CCS/CaCO ₃	5.78	3.77	11.92	93.57
2%CCS/CaCO ₃	6.00	3.79	11.98	93.73
3%CCS/CaCO ₃	6.30	3.84	12.00	93.82

Table 4
Retention values of kaolin and calcium carbonate in paper sheets containing different amounts of microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) or cationic starch (CCS)

Added % of MCC or CCS	Retention value (%)			
	15% K +MCC	15% K +CCS	15% CaCO ₃ +MCC	15% CaCO ₃ +CCS
0.00	31.26	31.26	35.19	35.19
1.00	33.67	35.06	37.40	39.55
2.00	36.09	39.19	39.07	41.82
3.00	38.02	41.12	41.15	43.05




Figure 2: SEM of unfilled blank paper and paper sheets containing (a) CCS; (b) MCC; (c) CaCO₃; (d) MCC/CaCO₃; (e) CCS/CaCO₃; (f) kaolin; (g) CCS/kaolin; (h) MCC/kaolin

Scanning electron microscopy

The morphology and surface characteristics of the bagasse paper sheets, with different fillers and different additives, were observed by scanning electron microscopy, as shown in Figure 2.

Surface roughness and tiny pores could be detected on the blank bagasse sheets. Homogeneous fiber coating could be observed for the bagasse sheets coated by CCS or MCC. Tiny calcium carbonate particles could be noticed when calcium carbonate was used as filler in the paper sheets. CCS and MCC helped the calcium carbonate particles to adhere to cellulosic fibers, so the retention was increased. The same trend was recognized when kaolin was used as filler, with/without CCS or MCC.

Thermal gravimetric analysis

The possible influence of the MCC filler on the thermal stability of the prepared bagasse paper samples was evaluated by thermal gravimetric and differential thermal analyses, taking paper sheets treated with 15% kaolin as an example. The blank bagasse paper sheet shows the two peaks characteristic of cellulosic materials decomposition.¹⁴ The first stage, with about 10% weight loss, at about 90 °C and below 130 °C, is assigned to the loss of moisture content (dehydration process). Meanwhile, the second stage (combustion process) that occurred at 357 °C, with about 70% weight loss, is due to the decomposition of cellulosic fibers.¹⁵ The left shoulder to the second decomposition step could be attributed to hemicellulose degradation – hemicelluloses decompose first and overlap with cellulose decomposition. About 80% of the sample weight was decomposed at ≈ 500 °C. The paper sheets loaded with 15% kaolin only and those with 15% kaolin/1-3% MCC show the same thermal behavior. The addition of kaolin to bagasse paper shifts the onset of degradation and the main decomposition temperatures towards lower values around 313.71 °C and 350.5 °C, respectively. The addition of MCC compensated this difference, as can be seen in Figure 3 and Table 5. The residual char at 600 °C (R_{600}) is also affected by the treatment and revealed moderate thermal stabilization of the paper sheets.




Figure 3: TGA and DTG curves of bagasse paper sheets treated with 15% kaolin and 1%, 2% and 3% MCC

Table 5
Thermal analysis data from TGA and DTG analyses

Sample	T_o	T_m	R_{600}
Blank	314.69	357.08	14.80
B+Kaolin	313.71	350.50	15.68
1% MCC/Kaolin	317.34	355.77	17.32
2% MCC/Kaolin	315.35	351.09	15.34
3% MCC/Kaolin	311.37	359.07	15.04

CONCLUSION

Microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) was prepared from bagasse with a yield of 82.65% and used as an additive in the manufacture of bagasse paper sheets, in comparison with commercial cationic starch. The mechanical properties of the paper sheets increased in direct proportion with the added amount of both additives, but CCS caused superior improvement in BL to MCC. It is worth noticing that the improvement attained by CCS could be attained using MCC with a 1% higher added value, which can be considered economically viable. The properties of bagasse paper sheets were improved as a result of MCC or cationic starch addition in the presence of 15% kaolin or 15% calcium carbonate as paper filler and also the filler retention increased.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT: The authors would like to express their gratitude to the National Research Centre, Egypt, for the financial support of the current work.

REFERENCES

- ¹ A. H. Hemmasi, A. Samariha, A. Tabei, M. Nemati and A. Khakifirooz, *Am. J. Agric. Environ. Sci.*, **11**, 478 (2011), [https://www.idosi.org/aejaes/jaes11\(4\)11/4.pdf](https://www.idosi.org/aejaes/jaes11(4)11/4.pdf)
- ² E. Abd El-Sayed, M. El-Sakhawy and M. A. El-Sakhawy, *Nord. Pulp Pap. Res. J.*, **35**, 215 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1515/npprj-2019-0064>
- ³ T. J. Rainey and G. Covey, in “Sugarcane-based Biofuels and Bioproducts”, edited by I. M. O'Hara and S. G. Mundree, John Wiley and Sons, 2016, pp. 259-280, <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118719862.ch10>
- ⁴ A. El-Gendy, R. Khiari, F. Bettaieb, N. Marlin and A. Dufresne, *Appl. Clay Sci.*, **101**, 626 (2014), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2014.09.032>
- ⁵ J. Shen, Z. Song, X. Qian and Y. Ni, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, **85**, 17 (2011), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2011.02.026>
- ⁶ W. Nachtergaele, *Starch*, **41**, 27 (1989), <https://doi.org/10.1002/star.19890410108>
- ⁷ T. Hongbo, L. Yanping, M. Haoran and S. Min, *Cellulose Chem. Technol.*, **54**, 265 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.35812/CelluloseChemTechnol.2020.54.28>
- ⁸ K. Wilpiszewska and Z. Czech, *Starch*, **66**, 660 (2014), <https://doi.org/10.1002/star.201300093>
- ⁹ A. M. Adel, Z. H. Abd El-Wahab, A. A. Ibrahim and M. T. Al-Shemy, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, **83**, 676 (2011), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.08.039>
- ¹⁰ M. El-Sakhawy and M. L. Hassan, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, **67**, 1 (2007), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2006.04.009>
- ¹¹ A. M. Adel and N. A. El-Shinnawy, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, **51**, 1091 (2012), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2012.08.003>
- ¹² D. Hermawan, T. K. Lai, S. J. Jafarzadeh, D. A. Gopakumar, M. Hasan *et al.*, *Bioresources*, **14**, 3389 (2019), https://bioresources.cnr.ncsu.edu/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/BioRes_14_2_3389_Hermawan_LJGMTARK_Devel_Seaweed_Bamboo_MCC_Films_Food_Packaging_15172.pdf
- ¹³ S. A. Mohamed, M. El-Sakhawy, E. S. Nashy and A. M. Othman, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, **136**, 774 (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.06.130>
- ¹⁴ A. Adel, A. El-Shafei, A. Ibrahim and M. Al-Shemy, *Ind. Crop. Prod.*, **124**, 155 (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.07.073>
- ¹⁵ M. El-Sakhawy, H. A. Tohamy, A. Salama and S. Kamel, *Cellulose Chem. Technol.*, **53**, 667 (2019), <https://doi.org/10.35812/CelluloseChemTechnol.2019.53.65>